

Department of Energy

ROCKY FLATS FIELD OFFICE P.O. BOX 928 GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0928

NOV 6 1598

98-DOE-03837

Mr. Tim Rehder U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII 999 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

Mr. Steve Gunderson Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, Colorado 80246



The U.S. Department of Energy Rocky Flats Field Office is pleased to transmit the 1998 annual updated environmental restoration (ER) ranking to your agencies. The Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA), Attachment 4, contains the prioritized list of ER sites developed to select the top priority sites for remediation. The list was developed to be used as an aid in planning and prioritizing removal action at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (the Site). The sequence of remediation activities at the Site has generally followed the prioritization. Other factors that also influence the remediation sequence are funding, project cost, resource availability, data sufficiency, and integration with other Site activities. Prioritization accelerates the cleanup process of the highest risk sites first, and more quickly reduces risks to human health and the environment. The prioritization of cleanup also results in cost reduction by allowing better planning and more efficient utilization of resources.

The original methodology for prioritizing sites for remediation was developed in 1995 in a collaborative effort between the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment, the Department of Energy, Kaiser-Hill Company, L.L.C. (K-H), and Rocky Mountain Remediation Services (RMRS). RMRS subsequently implemented this methodology. The result was a prioritized list of ER sites, including a list of ranked sites that require more information. In accordance with RFCA, Attachment 4, the ranking was updated in 1996 and 1997. These updates included the action level framework values, scoring scale, groundwater plumes, potential areas of concern, and a professional judgment factor.



The enclosed 1998 ER ranking has no modifications to the prioritization methodology. The list has been updated as follows:

- The source removal action at individual hazardous substance site (IHSS) 108 trench T-1 was completed;
- The Building 123 site (IHSSs 148, 121, Building 123 Under Building Contamination [UBC], Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Unit 40) was added to the ER Ranking spreadsheet.
- The remedial action for the Mound site plume was completed.
- Additional available information was used to modify the ranking of the following sites:
 - Property utilization and disposal (PU&D) yard;
 - PU&D yard plume;
 - east trenches plume;
 - IHSS 118.1;
 - 903 pad & lip area (IHSSs 112 and 115);
 - Bowman's pond (PAC 700-1108);
 - Trench 7 (IHSS 111.4); and
 - Building 440 area.
- The status column is consistent with the 1998 Annual Historical Release Report Update with respect to proposed no further actions.
- The low-ranked portions of the prioritized list have been combined.

Some of the data used for ER ranking has not been validated at this time. The K-H team anticipates that the data will be validated in fiscal year 1999. Any changes in ranking due to data validation will be incorporated into the ranking as necessary.

If you should have any technical questions regarding this transmittal, please call Norma I. Castaneda at 303-966-4226 or contact me at 303-966-7252.

Regina R. Sarter

FCA Project Coordinator

cc w/Enc:

- A. Rampertaap, EM-45, HQ
- G. Kleeman, EPA
- C. Spreng, CDPHE R. DiSalvo, OCC, RFFO
- Administrative Record

cc w/o Enc:

- J. Legare, AMEC, RFFO
- B. April, RLG, RFFO
- R. Tyler, ERWM, RFFO
- N. Castaneda, ERWM, RFFO
- L. Brooks, K-H
- L. Butler, K-H
- D. Shelton, K-H

0
_
_
_
Œ
-
17
-
~
_
ш
_

					Ŧ	EK Ranking						
Status Rank HSS Number and Name	Total Tank	_	_	otal	Total							í
-	Contents	Water	S S	Surface	Chemical		SW Impact	Potential for	Professiona	lefo!		Hev. 9/98
		\perp	Sol	Soil	Score	ALF Score	Methinge	Further Release	Judgement	Priority	Fyngada	
3		26404	2	V	33681	C.	audinou.	Multiplier	Multiplier	Score	<u> </u>	
4		26104	219	⊽	27713	100	7	8	-	9		General Comments
C-97 5 113 Mound		=	8	-	26179	100	76	3	-	8		
6 112/155 903 Pad and 1 in A		1000	11080	-V	11091	20	7	3	-	8	3	Source removed
7 East Tenches Plums		13064	9	-	19071			3	2	3 2	8	Source removed
8		26105	1449	108	42983	, 5	7	2	-	3	200	1- Y98 - source removed, treatment and trench fill in Eyon
6	110	20102			26105	2 5	2	2	-	5 8	SB.	Source of Mound Plume, removed
02	1	0000000	2325	2	00003521	2 2	8	-	-	3 8	SE .	Characterization in FY98/FY99, remediation planned at
=	3570	/one:			19067	20	1.5	2	-	3 8	Sal	Impact on surface water in the S. Walnut Creek de-
12	1453	c ·	c	V	3570	2	8	-	-	3 8	χθS	Tank 10 source removed. Carbon Tet Plinns
53	357	V	Ÿ	-	1453	1	-	3	- -	77 2	٦	Groundwater collection and treatment
Т	5	270	⊽	83	1050	1		3	-	7/2		Source removed, tank foamed and cat.ii
1	200	V	⊽	-	200	1	-	3	T-	77		Source removed, tank framed and det it
T		2403	V	14	1	ام	-	6	-	7		Tank foamed and stabilized DALE in
7		2403		+	147		2			2	yes	Source removed, tank formed and an arrange soil and groundwater
7		73365	\mid	1	2403	,	2	1	-	4	yes	HHRA 10-4 to 10-6 organizations
1		20000000	-	100	13303	10		1		4	yes	Plume due to NO. impage 11011 118.1 not used in ranking
7-		9167	=	1	2000000	9	-	1		2	yes /	o moact to end and many water in N. Wahut Creek
7		2615	c	\dagger	1016	8	-	1		2		IHSS 118.1 is signaded and the Woman Creek drainage
20 150 Plume	15	V	-	1	CI 07		-	+		8	yes	o impact on surface water in the present
22 150 Had Site Bldg 664 Parking of		553	+	+	4125	7	-	1			yes N	No known impact on a series in the Woman Creek drainage
7		578	-	+	553	9	-	7	0.5	_	Sex	ow foot date out of the control of t
7		418		- ,	579	9	-	1	-	9	T	Source and PAHS in surface soil
7		257	+	-	419	5	-	1	-	9	T	Payed
Т		257		1	257	5	-	- -	-	2	T	Pared
27 Present Landfill Area Plume	-	415	-	1	264	5	-		-	2	Τ	
7	-	415	7	31	446	5	1		-	2	T	No authority be due to UBC at B881
7		-	1	-	415	5	,	- -	0.5	5	T	no pauliway known
8			120		18	-	100	-	0.5	5	T	Compliance, presumptive remedy for closure
IAC-96 31 129 - Tank T-4, outside stoom -1	-	215	+		128	4	1		2	4	T	
32 121, 126.1, 126.2 Tank T 8	V	21	- -		229	4			-	4	200	Process knowledge of probable influent liquids
33 111.8 Tranch T. 11	V	= 6	=	2	-		7	-	0.5	+	T	score includes newly discovered sample data
-	-		+	·	V	-		3	-	+	T	HHHA, less than 10-6, metals
	+	9,	V	V	-			3	-	+	la la	lank foamed and stabilized, tank not breached
36 143 771 Outfall	+	اء		_	+	, ,		-	-	+	7	
176.5	1	= :	ر د	65		76		-	-	+	7	Organics in groundwater
131 Rad Site #4	1	40	⊽	3	+	1		_	+	+	7	Contamination due to 8779
1	+	c	2		+	7		-	+	+	7	PAHs in surface soil
_	1	1		-	4			-	-	+	7	
+-	+	1			1			2		76	2	
42 (133.3 Ash Di #2	1	\$:	2	-	+			-	-	+	T	
43 Old Landfill Aris Di	1	\$;	2 <1	-	-		1	-	-	+		HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
44 - 115 Original Landin	1	1	7	-	100	1		-	-	-	1	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
45 190 Carretic Lock		1/4		174	+			-		+	1	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
46 Building 123 Ct. dt loc	+		<1 . 27	_	+		-	-	0.5	+	T	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
Γ	1	-	H		7	1	$\frac{1}{1}$		+	+	7	HI-RA, 10E-4 to 10-6 Action required due to physical hands
48 150.3 Rad Site Between Barry A	1	6	H		1] 	-	-	+	+	T	HHPA, 10E-4 to 10-6
	+	+		-	1	1		-	1-	2	7	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
П	1	+	16	9	 	 -			1-	2 2	T	Building removed to the slab in FY98
-	1	5	1	$ \cdot $	-	 -	+			2 2	T	Contamination probably from 400 Complex
52 120.2 West Fiberglassing Area		2	5/2	9	-	1	. -		-	2 2	1	
		1	+	1	-	-	+			2	+	
			$\frac{1}{1}$	9		-	,	<u> </u>	-	٤	П	PCB hit above Al. listed under DCB o
eptember 30, 1998										2		The lived times PUB 9.

September 30, 1998

ER Ranking

	-		Total	leto	100							Rev. 9/98
Status Rank IHSS Number and Name	Total Tank	ō	Scr	လ	Chemical		Score	Potential for	Professional	lotal		
53 144 Sewer fine overflow	Contents	Water	Soil	Soil	Score	ALF Score	Multiplier	Further Helease	Judgement	Priority	Exceeds	
		=	=	4	4	-		randpher .	Multiplier	Score	Tier I AL	General Commonts
_		-	2	4	4		-		-	-	2	
56 Building 440 Site		ء	c	2	2		- -			-	2	
57 177-OU 10		٦	9	=	9		1	-	-	-	2	
58 196 in Old Landfill		⊽	=	2	6	1,	-	-	-	-	2	Woodington J
†-		4	⊽				-	-	1	-	T	investigation done for B440 expansion
60 139.1 KOH NaOH condenses		⊽	53	, ("	\$ 8	2	-	-	0.5	. -	T	PCB ht above AL
7		=	-	, 9	3/5	2	-		0.5	1	1	HHHA, 10E-4 to 10-6
Т		_	-	10	2 0		-	-	0.5	9		ant pending
63 153 Oil Plum Dit		⊽	V	2 -	2,	-	-	-	0.5	200	1	PAHS in surface soil
Т		V	ī	- -	-		-	-	0.5		7	PAHS in surface soil
┰		; =			V	0	-			3)		
7				v	⊽	0	-				2	In PA fence, eleven feet of soil removed during fence and
7				⊽	Ţ	0	-			0	٦	Commission and a service construction
5/ 150,4 Rad Site NW of B750		= -	-	⊽		0	-	1		0	2	
_		٤	£		⊽	0	+		-	0	٤	
69 111.3 SE Trenches T-6		<u>~</u>	<1	=	⊽	0	+		-	0	2	
_		c	~	₹	⊽	C	+		-	0	2	
_		₽	₽	⊽	V		-		-	0	2	
		⊽	⊽	⊽	V	,	- -	-	_	0	2	
_		c	c	⊽	V		- -	-	-	0	2	
74 (111.7 SE Trenches T-10		۷.	ī	V	1		-	-	-	0	2 2	
75 137 Bldg 712/713 Cooling Towar Blounds		-	pq	pq	+		-	-	-		2 2	
76 118.2 Solvent Soils North End of Pil.		-	=	-	;		-	-	-	0	2 2	
1		₹	-	-			-	-	-	0	2 2	
7		2	-	;			-	-	-		T	
+		-	+	= -		0	-	-	1		2	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7		-		= -		0	-	-	+			Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
+			+	۲	0	0	-	-		3	可	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7		-	=	د	0	0	-	+	- -	٥	Œ)	Evaluate Using approved NAMFA process
┪		=	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	0	Ę	Evaluate using approved NA/NEA
7	1	-	c	۲	0	0	+	- -	-	0	Ē	Evaluate using approved NAMICA
		c	-	E	0	0	- -		-	0	TÃ.	Evaluate using approved MAAICA
	+	۵	c	₽	0		- -	-	-	0	14	hate reing approved records
86 205 Sump #3 Acid Site SF BASO		c	-	-			- -	-	-	0	1 1 2	Fraftato come approved NA/NFA process
1		c	-				-	-	-	+	T	Lyaluate Using approved NA/NFA process
Т		-	-	+	1		-	-	-	+		Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
Т				- -	٥	0	-	-	+		ונג	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7	-		+	- V	0	0	-	-	-		TK.	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
┪	 		= -	⊽	0	0	-		+	١,	Eva	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7		+	1	=	0	0	-	-	- -	o l		Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7	+	- ·	⊽	⊽	0	0	-	-	+	-	Eva	Evaluate using approved NA/NFA process
7	+	=	c	⊽	0	0	-	- -	- -	0	Eva	Evaluate by NA/NFA process/lie Rank Dan
94 154 Pallet Burn Site	+	-	د	⊽	0	0	-	- -	-	0	Eva	Evaluate by NA/NFA processing Door Con-
_	+	c	u	₽	0	0			-	0	G G	Evaluate with Na NIEA DCB 11-6
_	+	-		_			-	-	0.5	0	Ben	Over driving DA
INV Building 444 UBC	+	134	c	V	134	P	-	-			1	and a construction, verify only
-	+	156	-	⊽	156	-	 	2		16	no Fmo	الماما الماما
INV 121 Old Process Waste Lines-include:		142	=	V	c	+ -		-	-	╀	T	Linbilical data indicates free product present
66 segments (35,000) & 22 tank units not investigated		1013	c	\vdash	1013	1	- -	-		2	Man	Many Promise III-
123.2 Valve Vault w. of 707			-	-		+	-	-		-	Ves IHSS	HSC 134
147.1 MAAS Area				-	+	+	-			+	T	Not character the following italicized IHSSs
149.1 OPWL to SEPS	+			+	+	+	+			-	Note	Not characterized, probably highly contaminated
			-	-	1	1	1		_	-		Not characterized, probably highly contaminated
							,					

ER Ranking

	-													
							j							
Status	Bank	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Total Tank	Total Ground	-	lotal	otal		SW Impact	Potential for			i	Hev. 9/98
	٠.		Contents		Subsurface	Surface	Chemical		Score	Further Belease	Professional	Total		
	<u>}</u>	+		1010	jos	J.	Score	ALF Score	Multiplier	Muttiplier	Multiplia	Priority	Exceeds	
	1	149.2 UPWL to SEPS		1013	c	c	1013			,	Multiplier	Score	Tier I AL	General Comments
	Ž									-	2	4	yes	IHSS 121 includes the following to living
	3	150 1 Por Care 1 146.2, 146.3, 146.4, 146.5, 146.6)												Not characterized, probably bighty contract
	2	┪-		= 6	د ا	۵	0	0	-	 				Not characterized, probably highly confamiliated
	2	_			=	V	0	0	-	- -	7	0		Tanks removed, 1971 rad, data exceeded Tier Lines
	≥	161 - W. of 664		-		V	0	0	-		- -	3		Paved, old data exists
	2	+		= =		V .	0	0	-	<u> </u>	-	0		Paved, old data exists
	2	+-		- E	اء	▽	0	0	-	+	7	0	رد	Suspected source-known buried material Dilish
	NE C	7		3 ,	c	⊽	651	9	-	+	7	0	2	Waste stading area-lack of data
		_		-	c		0	0	-		-	9	yes	Source
	2	7		=	-	۲	0	-	+		-	0	٢	Ted to Building 325 Ogn n
	2	213 904 Pad, Pondcrete Storage		-	د	⊽	0		+		-	0	19	Bad Science col.
	_	116.1 Bldg 447, W. Loading Dock		=	٥	c	0)	+		-	0		HPGo Current
	7	116.2 Blog 444, S. Loading Dock	1	-	-	ī	0		+		-	0		din Clare
	\neg	136.1 Cooling Tower Pond W. of 444	1	د	-	⊽	0		-				1	Active Glorage Unit, not sampled
		148 Waste Leaks		۵	_	V				-	-	-	+	
	≥	150.8 Rad Site S. of 779		E	-	+		2	-	_		,		
_	1	173 Bad Site Blog 001	-	a		1		0	-	-	-			
	1	184 Bad She 001 Charles	-	-	-	7	0	0	_	-	- 10			
	N	162 - 700 Area	-		-	- -		0	-	-	200	> 0	Ś	Spills cleaned up at time
	┿		-	-	-	- -	0	0	-	1		0	Ś	Spills cleaned up at time
NFA	ľ	140 Land 140	-	+	-	⊽	0	0	-	+	500	0	Ď	Unconfirmed-no location found
NEV	Ť	140 razardous Waste Disposal Site		+	-		<u> </u>			+	6.0	0	Sp	Spills cleaned up at time
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Ť	154.1 Had Site #2 - 800 Area	1		3	u u	3	-	-				-	
	+	170, 174.1 (174a), 174.2 (174b) PU&D Storage Areas	1	1	۔	⊽	2	-	+	-	0.5	5.0	-	
X .	1	117.3 S Chemical Storage Site	+	٥	c	12	12	-	-		0.5	0.5	20	Policy Control
Y L	7	123.1 Valve Vault #7	+	٤	_	Ī	c		- -	-	0.5	0.5	T	Principle of the Process
A N		135 Bldg 337 Cooling Tower	1	ے	_	Ī		,	-	-	-	o	T	Illyesigation in FY98 determined no source above Tier present/NFA
NFA	-	147.2 Bldg 881 Conversion Activity		-	n n	-	,		-	-	-	-	١	Evaluated using approved NAMFA process
NFA	=	151 Fuel Oil Leak		_	-	7	, ,		-	_	-	1	ָב <u>ֻ</u>	Evaluated using approved NA/NFA process
NFA	۳	156.1 Radioactive Site		E	=	; \(\tau \)			-	-		,	ונג	Evaluated using approved NA/NFA process
NFA	1	172 Central Avenue Most- C. "		=	-		3	٥	-	-	-	-	EV	Evaluated using approved NANFA process
NED PED	+	84 D.:H.: Oct O	-	-	+	v	0	0	-	-	+		Eva	Evaluated using approved NA/NFA process
VIEW .	= :	10 I Building 334 Cargo Container Area	+	+	د ا	√ 1	0	0	-	- -	- -		Eva	Evaluated using approved NA/NEA process
	=	188 Acid Leak Southeast of Bldg. 374	+	=	۲	7	0	0	-	- -	-	0	no No	No source formed
A L	2 2	191 Hydrogen Peroxide Leak	1	٥	۲	⊽	0		- -		-	0	FVS	trated trains
NFA	2	210 Bldg 980 Cargo Container		-	=			,	-	-	-	-		Lydradied using approved NA/NFA process
NFA	21	216.2 East Spray Field Olt 2		c	-	-		0	-	_	-	,	L CAS	Cvaruated using approved NAMFA process
NFA	2	216.3 Eact Spin: Fi. 14. Old 2		į	: [7	0	0	_	-	-		Eva	Evaluated using approved NA/NFA process
NFA	119	132 F India - 100 2	-	٠		- -	0	0	_	-	+	ا د	Eva	Evaluated using approved NA/NFA process
NFA	2 5	33 6 Committee Williams	-		= 7	[V	0	0	-	-	- -		PPF	PPRG ratio less than 1, *2 downgradient wells
NFA	=	141 Shide Di		-	7	Ş .	0	0	-	-	- 3		PPF	PPRG ratio less than 1. 2 downgradient wells
NEA	1	141 Shuge Dispersal Area			+	V	0	0	-		500	0	포	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
NEA	1	tz:1 rolld A-1			1	<u>دا</u>	0	0	2	-	313	0	또	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6
	4	142.10 Fond C-1	-	=	<1	~1	0	0		- -	0.5	0	HH	HHRA, less than 10-6
¥ .	14	142.11 Pond C-2	1	اء	⊽	⊽	0	0	- -	- -		0	呈	HHRA 10E-4 to 10 6 11/6-1-1-1-1
A-A	4	142.12 Walnut and Indiana Pond		c	- 1>	⊽	-	, c	- ,		_	0	E	A less than 100 t
NFA	142	142.2 Pond A-2		₹	⊽	7	1			-	0.5			Lines utail 10-b includes pond & sediments
NFA	142	142.3 Pond A-3		c	-			0		_	<u> </u>	, ,	E C	nnrry, less than 10-6 Includes pond & sediments
NFA	142	142.4 Pond A-4		=		+	+	0 (_	-	+	, c	S S S	Passed CUPHE screen
NFA	142	142.5 Pond B-1	-	⊽	+	+	+	0	_	_	-	, ,		rirtRA, 10E-4 to 10-6 w/pond data
NFA	143	142 6 Dond D 2	L	-	+			0	_	-	1	,,	I I	HHHA, 10E-4 to 10-6 w/pond data
NFA	15	140 7 Bood B o	-	+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$		0	-		+	0 0	Pass	Passed CDPHE screen w/ pond and sediment data
NFA	1	142.1 Fond B-3	-	: 6	+		0	0	-	-	- ,	0	王	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6 w/pond & sediment data
	1	2.0 r ond B-4	1	+	+			0	-	-	- ·	0 (포	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6 w/pond & sediment data
				-		Ţ	0	0		-	- - ,	0	FF	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6 whoond & sediment data
										-		0	HHP	HHRA, 10E-4 to 10-6 w/bond & sediment data
September 30 1009	1000													PIPO III III III III III III III III III

ER Ranking

March State Stat	
1	Contents v
1	\perp
Color Colo	
Colored Colo	
C C C C C C C C C C	
1	
Color Colo	∀
1	\ <u>\</u>
1	
1	
1	
Color Colo	203 Inactive Hazardous Waste Storage Area
Color Colo	u Rev
	<1
Color Colo	u l
10	->
	<1
Color Colo	
State Stat	1>
34	6
Color Colo	L>
Color Colo	~
C C C C C C C C C C	C
C C C C C C C C C C	>
	<1
	\$ \$
1	190
1	a
1	
N	4
n 0 0 1 1 0.5 0	
n 0 0 1 0 0 n 0 0 1 1 05 0 n 3 1 1 05 0 n 0 0 1 1 05 0 n 0 0 1 1 05 0 n 0 0 1 1 05 0	= '
n 0 0 0 1 1 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= 6
n 3 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 0 n 0 0 1 1 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
n 0 0 1 1 1 05 0.5 no n 1 1 0.5 0.5 no n 1 1 0.5 0.5 no n 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3
n 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	u
	c
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	